## EXTRA HINTS FOR EXERCISE SHEET 2

**Exercise 2.1.** For (1), just notice that each term in the binomial expansion has a factor  $a^m$  or  $b^n$ . (2) is straightforward. For (3), the first statement is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.12 (1), and the second statement has to be checked by hand using definitions.

**Exercise 2.2.** For (1), both directions can be checked using definitions. For (2), you need to check  $\sqrt{(f)} \supseteq (\overline{f})$  and  $\sqrt{(f)} \subseteq (\overline{f})$ . For " $\supseteq$ ", given any  $g \in (\overline{f})$ , let  $m = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_t\}$ . You can show that  $g^m \in (f)$ . For " $\subseteq$ ", given any  $g \in \sqrt{(f)}$ , you need to show that g can be divided by each  $f_i$ . (3) is an immediate consequence of (2).

**Exercise 2.3.** For (1), you first need to show that every polynomial  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  can be written in the form

$$f = (x_1 - a_1)g_1 + \dots + (x_n - a_n)g_n + c$$

for some polynomials  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and a constant  $c \in \mathbb{k}$ . There are two methods to prove this and you can use either one. You can look at Example 2 in the algebra review session for an argument similar to this. Once this formula is established, you can easily find ker  $\varphi_p$ . To show  $m_p$  is a maximal ideal, you need to show that  $\varphi_p$  is surjective. Then the fundamental isomorphism theorem gives

$$\mathbb{k} \cong \mathbb{k}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]/m_p.$$

Since k is a field, Proposition 2.12 (1) implies  $m_p$  is a maximal ideal. Example 2 in the algebra review session is again a good model. For (2),  $\mathbb{V}(m_p)$  is clearly a point. Proposition 2.16 shows that maximal ideals are one-to-one correspondent to points. For every point p, there is a maximal ideal  $m_p$  corresponding to it. Since points have been exhausted, the  $m_p$ 's also exhaust all maximal ideals.

**Exercise 2.4.** For (1), you need to show  $X \subseteq \mathbb{V}(I)$  and  $X \supseteq \mathbb{V}(I)$ , both of which are straightforward. For (2), you need to consider the ring homomorphism

$$\varphi: \Bbbk[x, y, z] \longrightarrow \Bbbk[t]; \quad f(x, y, z) \longmapsto f(t, t^2, t^3)$$

and use the fundamental isomorphism theorem. It is easy to find that  $\varphi$  is surjective, hence im  $\varphi = \Bbbk[t]$  is clear. To find out ker  $\varphi$ , you need to first prove that every  $f(x, y, z) \in \Bbbk[x, y, z]$  can be written in the form

$$f = (y - x^2)g_1 + (z - x^3)g_2 + h$$

where  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$  and  $h \in \mathbb{k}[x]$ . Once this is established, it is easy to find that  $\ker \varphi = I$ . The fundamental isomorphism theorem gives the required formula. The entire argument is similar to that of Example 2 in the algebra review session. Every step in (3) is straightforward.

*Date*: October 6, 2015.