

2. CONGRUENCES

We first recall the notion of congruence, then study how to solve linear congruence equations. The Chinese remainder theorem is important in solving simultaneous equations.

2.1. Congruences and linear equations. We recall the following definition from Discrete Mathematics and Programming:

Definition 2.1. If $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \neq 0$, we say that a is *congruent to b modulo m* if m divides $b - a$. This relation is written as

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}.$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, the set $\bar{a} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \equiv a \pmod{m}\}$ of integers congruent to a modulo m is called a *congruence class* modulo m . The set of congruence classes modulo m is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_m .

Remark 2.2. Although the notion of congruence is still well-defined for any non-zero integer m , we are usually only interested in positive values of m , as congruences modulo m and $-m$ coincide.

We have seen the following structure on \mathbb{Z}_m :

Proposition 2.3. *For any non-zero integer m , the set \mathbb{Z}_m has the structure of a commutative ring with 1. In fact, it is the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}/(m)$ where (m) is the principal ideal of \mathbb{Z} generated by m .*

Proof. See Example (1) on Page 10 (2013) or Examples 1.20 and 1.35 (2014) in Algebra 2B. □

The cancellation law for congruences will be handy for solving congruence equations.

Proposition 2.4 (Cancellation Law). *For any $a, b, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \neq 0$, $m \neq 0$, assume $\text{hcf}(k, m) = d$, then $ka \equiv kb \pmod{m}$ iff $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$.*

Proof. See Exercise 2.3. □

Now we turn to look at congruence equations. In general a congruence equation has the form

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

where $f(x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and m is a non-zero integer. We are only interested in solutions modulo m ; i.e. solutions in \mathbb{Z}_m . *The number of solutions* is the number of congruence classes in \mathbb{Z}_m which satisfy the given equation.

Proposition 2.5. *For any $a, b, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$, $m \neq 0$, assume $\text{hcf}(a, m) = d$, then the congruence equation $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has solutions iff $d \mid b$. In this case there are exactly d solutions in \mathbb{Z}_m . If x_0 is a solution, then the complete set of solutions is given by the congruence classes of $x_0, x_0 + m', x_0 + 2m', \dots, x_0 + (d-1)m'$, where $m' = \frac{m}{d}$.*

Proof. If x_0 is a solution, then $ax_0 - b = my_0$ for some integer y_0 . Thus $ax_0 - my_0 = b$. Since d divides $ax_0 - my_0$, we must have $d \mid b$.

Conversely, suppose that $d \mid b$ then $b = cd$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\text{hcf}(a, m) = d$, there exist integers x'_0 and y'_0 such that $ax'_0 - my'_0 = d$. Multiply both sides of the equation by c . Then $a(x'_0c) - m(y'_0c) = b$. Let $x_0 = x'_0c$. Then $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

We have shown that $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has a solution iff $d \mid b$.

Suppose that x_0 and x_1 are solutions. $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $ax_1 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ imply that $ax_1 \equiv ax_0 \pmod{m}$. By Proposition 2.4, it is equivalent to $x_1 \equiv x_0 \pmod{m'}$, hence x_1 is a solution iff $x_1 = x_0 + km'$ for some integer k . Moreover, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are integers r and s such that $k = rd + s$ and $0 \leq s < d$. Thus $x_1 = x_0 + sm' + rm$, or equivalently, $x_1 \equiv x_0 + sm' \pmod{m}$. These solutions are in d distinct congruence classes modulo m . This completes the proof. \square

We immediately have the following corollary:

Corollary 2.6. *If $\text{hcf}(a, m) = 1$, then $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has exactly one solution. In particular, if p is a prime and $p \nmid a$, then $ax \equiv b \pmod{p}$ has exactly one solution.*

Proof. In this case $d = 1$ so clearly $d \mid b$, and there is exactly $d = 1$ solution. \square

In practice, we can solve such equations by cancellations and the Euclidean algorithm.

Example 2.7. As an example we consider the congruence $9x \equiv 6 \pmod{15}$. Since $d = \text{hcf}(9, 15) = 3$ divides 6, the equation has 3 solutions modulo 15. By Proposition 2.4 we can cancel 3 on both sides and reduce the equation to $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$. Euclidean algorithm shows that $\text{hcf}(3, 5) = 1$ and $3 \times 2 + 5 \times (-1) = 1$, thus $3 \times 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Then we multiply both sides by 2 and get $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$. Therefore the solutions to the original equation are $x \equiv 4, 9$, or $14 \pmod{15}$.

From $3x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ we can also try to add multiples of 5 to 2 until we can cancel the coefficient 3. In this case we have $3x \equiv 2 + 5 \times 2 \pmod{5}$. By Proposition 2.4 we still get $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$. Hence the solutions to the original equation are $x \equiv 4, 9$, or $14 \pmod{15}$.

Proposition 2.5 can also be used to solve linear Diophantine equations of the form $ax + by = c$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. We explain it by the following example.

Example 2.8. We want to find all integer solutions to the equation $9x + 15y = 6$. We solve it by considering the congruence equation $9x \equiv 6 \pmod{15}$. The computation above has showed that the solution is given by $x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, i.e. $x = 5k + 4$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By substitution we have $9(5k + 4) + 15y = 6$, so $y = -3k - 2$. Therefore all solutions are given by $x = 5k + 4, y = -3k - 2$ where k is an arbitrary integer.

Now we apply Proposition 2.5 to study the group of units in the ring \mathbb{Z}_m .

Proposition 2.9. *Let m be a positive integer. An element $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is a unit iff $\text{hcf}(a, m) = 1$. There are exactly $\phi(m)$ units in \mathbb{Z}_m . \mathbb{Z}_m is a field iff m is a prime.*

Proof. $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is a unit iff $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is solvable. By Proposition 2.5, this is equivalent to $\text{hcf}(a, m) \mid 1$, hence equivalent to a and m being coprime.

The number of units is precisely the number of such a 's with $1 \leq a \leq m$ and $\text{hcf}(a, m) = 1$. By Definition 1.27, there are precisely $\phi(m)$ units in \mathbb{Z}_m .

If p is a prime and $\bar{a} \neq 0$ in \mathbb{Z}_p , then $\text{hcf}(a, p) = 1$. Thus every non-zero element of \mathbb{Z}_p is a unit, which shows that \mathbb{Z}_p is a field.

If m is not a prime, then we can write $m = m_1 m_2$, where $1 < m_1, m_2 < m$. Thus $\overline{m_1} \neq \bar{0}$ and $\overline{m_2} \neq \bar{0}$, but $\overline{m_1} \cdot \overline{m_2} = \overline{m} = \bar{0}$. Therefore \mathbb{Z}_m is not a field. \square

We immediately obtain the following corollaries, both of which have their own names:

Corollary 2.10 (Euler's Theorem). *If $\text{hcf}(a, m) = 1$, then we have $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.*

Proof. The units in \mathbb{Z}_m form a group of order $\phi(m)$. If a and m are coprime, \bar{a} is a unit. Thus $\bar{a}^{\phi(m)} = \bar{1}$, or equivalently, $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. \square

Corollary 2.11 (Fermat's Little Theorem). *If p is a prime and $p \nmid a$, then we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. If $p \nmid a$, then a and p are relatively prime. Thus $a^{\phi(p)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. The result follows, since for a prime p , we have $\phi(p) = p - 1$. \square